2021-22 BUDGET ADVISORY COUNCIL

Wednesday, March 16, 2022 Virtual via Microsoft Teams 7:00 PM - 9:00 PM

The meeting started at 7:04pm.

- 1. Ten members were present: Chuck Rush (Chair), Erik Sullivan (Vice-Chair) Melanie Bowen (Past-Chair), Julie Davis, Nellie Carr, Michael Lyons, Katherine Christensen, Andy Greenwood, Bridget Obikoya, and Jennifer Wagener.
 - APS Assistant Superintendent Leslie Peterson, APS Board Chair Cristina Diaz-Torres
- 2. Public comment
 - Miranda Turner
 - Emphasize a major APS budget priority should be on learning loss
 - Math inventory scores showed 40% of students in middle school began the year in the "below basic" category (versus 26% at the beginning of last year and 25% before the pandemic)
 - Winter scores show 26% still fall into that category (vs 15% pre pandemic)
 - Similar trends in reading
 - Wider gap of achievement between white students and black/Hispanic
 - More pronounced needs at schools with a higher percentage of free/reduced lunch
 - APS should allocate more funds (suggested from close out) to tutoring and other learning loss intervention
 - Josh Folb (AEA)
 - Attended the tour of new Math curriculum/materials and is pleased to see how that will help teachers
- 3. The minutes will be approved in our next session.
- 4. Teaching & Learning Discussion Bridgett Loft, APS Chief Academic Officer
 - Students are experiencing significant learning gaps
 - Applied for \$15.5 million in support from grants to address learning loss
 - Received about \$2 million; split over various time periods
 - Hire 6 math interventionists for the next 2 school years
 - Hire 2 Literacy coaches
 - Purchase evidence based instructional software resources and professional training
 - o \$140,000 for tutoring starting this year targeting students based on test scores
 - Using some courseware to identify areas of support across other subjects
 - Public dashboard available next week on learning loss
 - Summer school model has changed over the past years, which has not allowed APS to measure impact – will be working hard this year to measure
 - 2,400 students targeted for math and reading this year in K8
 - Offering incentives again this year to target enough staff

- Wish list:
 - 1.0 math coach at each ES
 - Math coach at each HS
 - Full resource adoption in math and literacy
 - Literacy coaches at each ES
- 5. School Board Update Cristina Diaz-Torres
 - Encouragement to watch last night's work session on learning loss and enrollment projections
 - Revenue amount from the state is still uncertain
 - Trade off between the use of reserves and long-term sustainability an ongoing discussion
- 6. Budget Discussion and Budget Direction Review
 - Student well-being and academic progress
 - Making progress in the "Identifying" and "Reporting" areas
 - Underinvesting in the area of "Addressing" student needs
 - Any additional funding should be put in the area of learning loss
 - Re-think how resources being added are being deployed allocate them smarter based on where the needs are most (test scores, summer school eligibility, etc.)
 - Differentiate between one-time learning loss (pandemic-related) and the long-term impact of additional resources
 - Equity
 - Equitable outcomes (where the greatest need is) should be transparent in all decisions
 - Natural tension between planning factors (applicable to all equally) and need for additional support/resources beyond that based on need (not equal to everyone)
 - High-quality, talented workforce
 - Overdue for investment
 - o Concern about sustainability do we have the right staffing structure?
 - How does future compensation stay competitive in a county that is expensive to live in and where APS doesn't control the Revenue/tax base?
 - What data does APS have (or will have going forward) to support teacher loss/retention based on compensation?
 - Improve Operational Efficiency
 - No comments
 - Reserve usage
 - Support use of reserves but tough trade-off with year-over-year sustainability
- 7. April 5 Work Session with School Board Chuck Rush
 - Meet on March 30 to review presentation (7-8pm) confine presentation to 20 minutes
 - Solicit direct feedback from members in advance

The meeting was adjourned at 8:59pm.