

**2018 – 2019 BUDGET ADVISORY COUNCIL**  
**Wednesday, March 6, 2019**  
**7:00 PM – 9:00 PM**

The meeting started at 7:05 PM.

1. Welcome
  - a. Thirteen members were present: Cecilia Ciepiela-Kaelin (Chair), Bob Ramsey (Vice-Chair), Juan Gordon, Sr., David Rosenblatt, Dan Rosman, Melanie Bowen, Lida Anestidou, Heather Jones, Chuck Rush, Lars Florio, Sheila Leonard, Cristina Diaz-Torres and Jennifer Wagener; APS staff members Leslie Peterson and Tameka Lovett-Miller and School Board representative Monique O’Grady (filling in for Tannia Talento.)
2. Staff Comments
  - a. Page corrections in budget document – pages 59 and 105
  - b. County budget manager recommended a tax increase of 1 ½ cents (in his proposed budget); of which 1 cent would go to APS
    - i. County Board then advertised an up to 2 ¾ cent tax increase (ceiling); of which up to 2 cents would go to APS
    - ii. County approves their budget on April 23
  - c. APS proposed budget includes:
    - i. The 1 cent tax increase from Arlington County
    - ii. \$10 million in budget cuts
    - iii. An additional funding need of \$8.9 million
  - d. Tiered cuts are listed in rank order (top to bottom) as per APS
3. Public Comments (Josh Folb)
  - a. Josh attended the County tax meeting and he was the only person attending who spoke publicly; suggested more participation in the future
  - b. Identified page numbers that he would like to highlight for the BAC
    - i. Page 34 – identifies savings that maybe aren’t in the budget?
    - ii. Page 110 – summer school – could this be limited for High School to kids who received a D or lower, rather than kids with a B that want to re-do it for an A?
      1. Summer school is not currently cost neutral based on fee structure
    - iii. Page 96 – taking cars home
    - iv. Page 129 – cutting Microsoft Office – kids don’t use this, but staff do
    - v. Page 340 – Human Resources growth
    - vi. Page 352-353 – Technology & Administrative Accounts – biggest area of focus
4. Budget Discussion
  - a. New school openings – will there be any cost overruns for the start-up costs?
    - i. APS believes these are adequately budgeted and planned for in the current numbers
  - b. Tier 1 cuts:
    - i. Prefer not to use one-time MC/MM funding for operating costs; BAC understands the budget challenge that we have
    - ii. Class size – same proposed increase as last year; this was approved for action last year (but it was postponed by using one-time funds)
      1. Would like to understand why these specific grades were

- selected?
    - 2. Since we are adding more positions than the 22 that would be proposed cut under this item, no current personnel would likely lose their position
  - c. Tier 2 cuts:
    - i. All schools and departments were asked to put forward a possible list of cuts up to 10% of their budget
    - ii. A number of items in this category suggest that funding/assistance would be covered by parents/PTAs/volunteers – we believe this would be more detrimental to lower income students
    - iii. Funding for TJ tuition – it is about 100 students total
      - 1. We don't know if students could still choose to go if they paid themselves
    - iv. Outdoor lab – an opportunity across all students
    - v. STEM specialist position at Kenmore – would like to understand how many transfers were requested?
      - 1. Stated to be covered by other staff (in a limited basis)
    - vi. Equity and Excellence Coordinators – this is different from counselors but not fully clear on the intersection
      - 1. Would like to understand how the 2.5 resources are spread across the 4 high schools
      - 2. Should look at effectiveness of this role
    - vii. Library assistants
      - 1. Can this be reduced but not eliminated?
    - viii. Exemplary projects – not all schools have them and some have more than 0.5 resources
  - d. BAC work assignment
    - i. Survey utilized to collect feedback and voting preference to assist with next meeting and prioritized discussion
      - 1. Will be sent out shortly and need everyone's prompt attention to completing

Note: April meeting is on Monday, April 8<sup>th</sup> versus Wednesday, April 10<sup>th</sup>.

Meeting concluded at 9:10 PM.