Reed Concept Design Rankings
Joint BLPC / PFRC Meeting
January 24th 2018
Results as Threes Separate Groups



PFRC (without joint members)

	Overall Rank Weigh	Total	Total Ranked #1		Ranked #2		Rank	ed #3	Ranked #4		Ranked #5		Ranked #6		
Scheme				Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity
Upper Lower	3	47		0	5	25	4	16	2	6		0		0	
Standalone	4	27	1	6	1	5		0	2	6	3	6	4	4	
Integrated	1	62	10	60		0		0		0	1	2		0	
Bridge	2	49		0	5	25	6	24		0		0		0	
East	6	21		0		0		0	2	6	6	12	3	3	
North	5	25		0		0	1	4	5	15	1	2	4	4	
Total ballots			11		11		11		11		11		11		

BLPC (without joint members)

BLPC (WILIIOUL JOINL I	1	Total	Ranked #1		Ranked #2		Ranked #3		Ranked #4		Ranked #5		Ranked #6	
Scheme	Scheme Overall Rank	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score										
Upper Lower	2	56		0	5	25	5	20	1	3	4	8		0
Standalone	4	47		0	7	35	1	4		0	2	4	4	4
Integrated	1	96	16	96		0		0		0		0		0
Bridge	3	55		0	2	10	6	24	7	21		0		0
East	6	21		0	1	5		0		0	3	6	10	10
North	5	40		0		0	3	12	6	18	5	10		0
Total ballots			16		15		15		14		14		14	

Joint BLPC/PFRC Members

Joint BEF C/FT NC WIEIT	Overall Rank	Total	al Ranked #1		Ranked #2		Ranked #3		Ranked #4		Ranked #5		Ranked #6		
Scheme				Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity								
Upper Lower	3	20		0	1	5	3	12	1	3		0		0	
Standalone	6	8		0	1	5		0		0		0	3	3	
Integrated	1	29	4	24	1	5		0		0		0		0	
Bridge	2	23	1	6	2	10	1	4	1	3		0		0	
East	4	13		0		0	1	4	1	3	3	6		0	
North	6	8		0		0		0	1	3	2	4	1	1	
Total ballots			5		5		5		4		5		4	1	

Notes & Assumptions

^{*} A scheme ranked 1st equals a weighted score of 6. A a scheme ranked 6th equals a weighted score of 1 et al.

^{*} Several ballots did not rank all six schemes. Only unique rankings were counted which is why the total ballots number varies.

Reed Concept Design Rankings Joint BLPC / PFRC Meeting January 24th 2018 Results as Two Separate Groups



PFRC (with joint members)

	Overall	Total	al Ranked #1		Ranked #2		Rank	ed #3	Ranked #4		Ranked #5		Ranked #6	
Scheme	Rank	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score
Upper Lower	3	67		0	6	30	7	28	3	9		0		0
Standalone	4	35	1	6	2	10		0	2	6	3	6	7	7
Integrated	1	91	14	84	1	5		0		0	1	2		0
Bridge	2	72	1	6	7	35	7	28	1	3		0		0
East	5	34		0		0	1	4	3	9	9	18	3	3
North	6	33		0		0	1	4	6	18	3	6	5	5
Total ballots			16		16		16		15		16		15	

BLPC (with joint members)

	Scheme Overall Rank	Total	Total Ranked #1		Ranked #2		Ranked #3		Ranked #4		Ranked #5		Ranked #6	
Scheme		Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score	Quantity	Weighted Score
Upper Lower	3	76		0	6	30	8	32	2	6	4	8		0
Standalone	4	55		0	8	40	1	4		0	2	4	7	7
Integrated	1	125	20	120	1	5		0		0		0		0
Bridge	2	78	1	6	4	20	7	28	8	24		0		0
East	6	34		0	1	5	1	4	1	3	6	12	10	10
North	5	48		0		0	3	12	7	21	7	14	1	1
Total ballots			21		20		20		18		19		18	

Notes & Assumptions

- * A scheme ranked 1st equals a weighted score of 6. A a scheme ranked 6th equals a weighted score of 1 et al.
- * Several ballots did not rank all six schemes. Only unique rankings were counted which is why the total ballots number varies.
- * Joint ballots were counted "twice" once for the BLPC and once for the PFRC.