

## ROUND 2 - Rating Calculations for Analysis of Options for 1,300 High School Seats

Key: 1 = Does not meet expectations 2 = Partially meets expectations 3 = Meets expectations	Weight Range from 1-10	Kenmore		Career Center		Education Center		Hybrid - Combining Ed. Center & Career Center			
		Rating Average of items within criteria	Weighted Rating	Rating Average of items within criteria	Weighted Rating	Rating Average of items within criteria	Weighted Rating	Education Center		Career Center	
								Rating Average of items within criteria	Weighted Rating	Rating Average of items within criteria	Weighted Rating
<b>Overall ratings</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>1. Number of Seats and Complexity of Move (Average of Ratings)</b>	8	2	16	2	16	2	16	3	24	3	24
1a When will seats be full?		2		2		2		3		3	
<b>2. Timeline (Average of Ratings)</b>	7	1	7	1.5	10.5	2.5	17.5	3	21	2.5	17.5
2a Will it be completed by 2022?		1		2		3		3		2	
2b Is it possible to accelerate progress?		1		1		2		3		3	
<b>3. Cost (Average of Ratings)</b>	8	2	16	2.5	20	2.5	20	3	24	2.5	20
3a Will the project be completed in line with the funds budgeted for 2022?		2		2		2	* Round 1=2.5	3		2	
3b What could the new operating costs be?		2		3		3		3		3	
<b>4. Instructional Program (Average of Ratings)</b>	8	2.8	22.4	2.6	20.8	2.6	20.8	2.8	22.4	2.6	20.8
4a Alignment with community feedback		3		3		2		3		3	
4b Alignment with long-term vision		3		3		3		3		3	
4c Impact on VHSL		2		2		2		2		2	
4d Extracurricular activities		3		3		3		3		3	
4e Pool		3		2		3		3		2	
<b>5. Complexity of Move (Average of Ratings)</b>	6	2.0	12	2.7	16	2.3	14	3.00	18	2.67	16
5a Number of processes needed to move forward		2		2		2		3		2	
5b Egresses		1		3		2		3	* Round 1=2	3	
5c Ownership of land		3		3		3		3		3	
<b>6. Transportation (Average of Ratings)</b>	7	1	7	2.8	19.8	2.7	18.7	2.7	18.7	2.8	19.8
6a Walkability possibilities		1		3		3		3		3	
6b Cost		1		3		3		3		3	
6c Time spent on bus		1		3		2		2		3	
6d Traffic		1		2.5		2		2		2.5	
6e Trails, sidewalks, bike lanes, etc.		1		2.5		3		3		2.5	
6f Access to mass transit		1		3		3		3		3	
<b>7. Long-Term Growth and Maximum Use (Average of Ratings)</b>	9	2	18.0	2.33	21.0	1.67	15	2.67	24	2.67	24
7a Is there potential to expand later?		2		2		1		3		3	*Round 1=2
7b Can the building be repurposed at a later time?		2		3		3		3		3	
7c Field space		2		2		1		2		2	
<b>8. Maximum Use of Site (Average of Ratings)</b>	9	2.33	21.0	2.33	21.0	2.67	24.0	2.333333	21.0	2.333333	21.0
8a Maximum use of site		3		3		3		2		3	
8b Structured Parking		1		1		2		3		1	
8c Joint ACG/APS Use		3		3		3		2	*Round 1=1	3	

\* Rating presented on May 15 was revised based on more information and/or further review.

Disclaimer: This document is a working draft and is provided for information and discussion only. The information contained herein is subject to change.