

Current lottery allocates a proportion of slots that aligns with the Grade 5 students living in each attendance zones Should APS • Maintain current allocation by attendance zone OR • Allocate slots for each elementary school - Students attending option schools are not included in other allocations - All other students are part of neighborhood school allocation

Strengths	Challenges	Other thoughts
Maintain current allocation by attendance zone. Allowing option schools to have their own allocation may further reduce diversity in schools.	As above	
		No one has been able to explain what difference this would make to me. Can you clarify in the presentation?
	private school students reentering APS would have to go back to their neighborhood school	slots should be for schools not zones - if 3 students from Nottingham attend ATS and they go back into the HB pool that isn't fair - All schools should get an allotment and HB should increase class size by that number NOT reduce the current school allotment.
reasonably fair	still favors little diversity, as many schools are predominantly white affluent and similar students in other schools may be more likely to apply	the wording of this is confusing; if this is about HB, lottery should be based on school attended, not assigned neighborhood school. But would like to see some policy or procedure that facilitates more economically disadvantaged and minority students get into HB and ATS; while these lotteries seem fair, they currently result in option schools with student bodies of little diversity

<p>Current lottery allocates a proportion of slots that aligns with the Grade 5 students living in each attendance zones Should APS • Maintain current allocation by attendance zone OR • Allocate slots for each elementary school - Students attending option schools are not included in other allocations - All other students are part of neighborhood school allocation</p>		
<p>Allocate for each elementary school.</p>		<p>My preference would be to have a lottery county wide for H-B Woodlawn. I would also like to see some sort of application process. That school is not the right fit for every kid that applies. There should be a screening process in place.</p>
<p>maintain current allocation by attendance zone--it keeps it fair and geographically equal</p>		
<p>This seems fair to me.</p>		<p>You might want to use this policy as an opportunity to address the rumors that the lottery to HB Woodlawn is rigged. Perhaps this would be addressed the transparency idea listed above.</p>
		<p>May be easier to do an attendance zone based on transportation issues, regardless of where current allocation is -- RECOMMEND KEEPING CURRENT</p>
<p>Lottery should continue to be based on attendance zone.</p>		
<p>Please just allocate a consistent number of 'option' spots per elementary school (or base it on the unchanging # of brick-&amp;-mortar seats at each neighborhood ES), and let students transfer to another neighborhood ES if their school gets crowded.</p>	<p>Allocating 'option' spots based on # kids per attendance zone is a bad idea - see below...</p>	<p>Allocation of 'option' spots based on # of students in an attendance zone encourages people to continue to move into already crowded zones that get more 'option' spots, which then eventually leads to that area getting even MORE crowded, and gaining MORE spots - it's a self-fulfilling prophecy!</p>

<p>Current lottery allocates a proportion of slots that aligns with the Grade 5 students living in each attendance zones Should APS • Maintain current allocation by attendance zone OR • Allocate slots for each elementary school - Students attending option schools are not included in other allocations - All other students are part of neighborhood school allocation</p>		
????	????	Seems like pulling from the larger zones rather than individual schools gives more flexibility. But I'm unsure.
		Allocation by elementary school presents a more understandable criteria, and should be perceived as "more fair" - of the proposed options, but a straight up county wide lottery is ultimately "more fair" though it loses the social engineering aspect. APS should encourage diversity at HB through communication and stop trying to engineer diversity through convoluted policy.
I think allocating slots for each elementary school (including options) is the most equitable way to approach an HBW lottery. Plus it ensure a more diverse class at the school that represents students with different elementary school experiences.		
	it isn't clearly worded and is difficult to understand what the actual change is	
Maintain the current lottery. I have yet to hear an argument for changing it.		
Status quo is much more understandable		
Update to attending rather than current living population		
		I'm unclear on what this says and cannot comment

Current lottery allocates a proportion of slots that aligns with the Grade 5 students living in each attendance zones Should APS • Maintain current allocation by attendance zone OR • Allocate slots for each elementary school - Students attending option schools are not included in other allocations - All other students are part of neighborhood school allocation		
	will this effect funding? Do schools stand to lose funding if the allocations omit students attending options schools?	
MAINTAIN		
		There should no neighborhood restrictions.
Maintain Neighborhood association	Increase/Change to a lottery style will limit the possibility of those in that area from attending.	
maintaing the current allocation by attendance zone makes the most sense. Especially if we are increasing the number of option schools and therefore the number of students attending something other than their neighborhood school.		
		This question is very unclear. Is this for HB-Woodlawn? That is the only district-based lottery. All others are at-large. Please clarify. This should go back to being at-large like all other lotteries.
allocate by elementary school - helps keep ATS kids from getting a disproportionate number of HB slots. It is not fair.	ATS community will complain.	
		I can't tell what the advantages and disadvantages of these options are.
this is a fair way to allow equal access		

<p>Current lottery allocates a proportion of slots that aligns with the Grade 5 students living in each attendance zones Should APS • Maintain current allocation by attendance zone OR • Allocate slots for each elementary school - Students attending option schools are not included in other allocations - All other students are part of neighborhood school allocation</p>		
<p>Ends sense of suspicion that some kids get preferential treatment.</p>		<p>Create a district for private-school, homeschooled kids etc. and put them into a single pool or leave system as is. This fix goes halfway.</p>
		<p>Currently, south arlington gets more slots to HB than North. This is not fair, needs to end.</p>
		<p>We need to continue to allocate spots by attendance zone-- this is the most equitable solution. The lottery for options schools should reset at each level. Otherwise there are children that have an increased chance of winning twice (ATS to HB, etc.)</p>
		<p>yes</p>
		<p>I support allocating slots my school rather than attendance zone because this would enable a more balanced distributions by school when applying to 6th grade.</p>